

# **Budget Development Committee**

November 17, 2021 | 8:45 am – 10:15 am | Zoom

## **Meeting Minutes**

#### LPC Mission Statement

Las Positas College is an inclusive, learningcentered, equity-focused environment that offers educational opportunities and support for completion of students' transfer, degree, and career-technical goals while promoting lifelong learning.

#### LPC Planning Priorities

- ❖Implement the integration of all ACCJC standards throughout campus structure and processes.
- ❖ Establish a knowledge base and an appreciation for equity; create a sense of urgency about moving toward equity; institutionalize equity in decision-making, assessment, and accountability; and build capacity to resolve inequities.
- Increase student success and completion through change in college practices and processes: coordinating needed academic support, removing barriers, supporting focused professional development across the campus.
- provide ❖Coordinate resources and professional development for effective online instruction and remote delivery of student support services and college processes to advance equitable student outcomes.

#### Budget Development Committee Quorum: 7

#### Members (non-voting):

Chair, Anette Raichbart

#### Members Present (12 voting):

Faculty Member, At-Large-1, Tina Inzerilla Faculty Member, At-Large-2, Ashley Young Classified Professional-1, Alesia High Classified Professional-2, Stephany Chavez LPCSG Student-1, Vacant PBC Member-2, Rajinder Samra PBC Member-3, David Rodriguez PBC Member-4, Sarah Thompson

PBC Member-5, Thomas Orf

PBC LPCSG Student-1. Vacant Guest:

#### **LPC Admin Services Officer**

Sui Song

#### Members Absent:

Administrator, At-Large-1, Kristina Whalen Administrator, At-Large-2, Erick Bell Faculty-1 (appointed by F/A), Heike Gecox PBC Member-1, Rajeev Chopra SEIU Rep, Mike Alvarez

### Call to Order at 8:46 am

### **Review and Approve Agenda**

Second, Tina Inzerilla Motion, Thomas Orf

Motion passed unanimously

### Review and Approval 11/13/21 Minutes

Motion, Thomas Orf Second, Tina Inzerilla

Motion passed unanimously

### Debrief of 11/16/21 Board Meeting

- Issues with sound quality and the ability to hear the Board made it a challenge to follow along
- In the spring, another budget presentation from the PBC chairs will more than likely occur

#### **PBC Tri-Chair Update**

- Implementation of the new budget model starting in 2022 is on the table for discussion
- Statewide advocacy continues, and legislators are willing to bring forward SCFF modifications
- The SCFF Equity Coalition requested the use of the hold harmless as the base funding
- However, the proposal doesn't take into consideration that not all districts are under hold harmless
- The proposal does not necessarily address the funding for community colleges longer term
- The suggested action recommended to the District is to scenariobuild in preparation of a forthcoming model

#### **BAM Timeline**

- Districtwide expenses (3 A) are the next topic up for discussion at PBC
- Chabot does not have a committee designated for budget discussions which can impede timely PBC group decisions
- The RUMBL no longer falls under three as the trust covers it
- If funding allows, Rollback Funded SCFF projects will take
- The Marketing expense covers payment to 25<sup>th</sup> Hour

November 17, 2021 | 8:45 am – 10:15 am | Zoom

- The committee discussed the idea of items/programs funded under 3 A being mutually beneficial and there requiring input from both schools
- The team agreed to keep marketing in 3 A with the caveat of having someone designated to LPC
- The suggestion is to keep Convocation expenditures relative to the health of the budget each year
- As the LPC campus grows, utility costs will increase
- There is a question on how/where the funding for M&O, including their positions, will come from
- The committee believes it is not feasible to put IT under 3 A since it is a detriment to the local users
- Reassigned time is contractual and comes out of 3 A; overspending costs pull from the general fund
- At LPC, there is overspending on reassigned time year to year and keeping it in 3 A clearly defines when spending over the allocation occurs
- The team evaluated the implications of removing reassigned time and marketing from 3 A
- The recommendation is to leave the two expenditures in 3 A instead of pushing the costs to the general fund
- The argument for leaving subsidized programs may open the door for LPC to add Viticulture and Horticulture
- A review of full-time equivalent students shows a drop in male enrollment resulting in about an 80% loss of enrollment
- The preliminary student headcount number (counted once) is 7382, which has not happened since 1999-2000

#### Good of the Order

• After 3 A is fleshed out, the next topic is allocation based on the state model of revenue generation

#### Adjournment at 10:25 am