Las Positas College PROGRAM PLANNING UPDATE (Instructional) AY 2015-2016

Name of Program	Division	Author(s)
INTD INS PPU 15_16	A-L-SS	Fenchel/Hornbeck

INSTRUCTIONS:

- 1. This Program Planning Update covers the academic years 2012-2013 and 2013-2014.
- 2. The planning should be for the academic year 2015-2016.
- 3. Use the Save As feature in Word to save this template with your program name, so that you do not overwrite the original template. Please use your program's catalog rubric and this format when naming your document:

Rubric INS PPU 15_16

e.g., ESL INS PPU 15_16

- 4. If the document displays in large type with only File, Tools, and View tabs at the top of the page, select View, Edit Document. You will then be able to type where it says "Click here to enter text" and you will be able to click on the check boxes to select them.
- 5. In each section, click in the box under the instructions and fill in your information. The box will expand as you type. If a section is not pertinent to your program enter N/A in the box; do not leave it blank.
- 6. When you have completed the form, run the spell-checker (**click inside the text in the first box**, then click on the Review tab and find Spell-Check in the far left corner of the ribbon).
- 7. Please address your questions to your Program Review Committee representatives or the PR Chair Karin Spirn. Concerns, feedback and suggestions are welcome at any time to PRC representatives or co-chairs.
- 8. Instructions for submitting your Program Planning Update will be available at the start of the fall semester.

I. STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

Review of academic years 2012-13 and 2013-14

A. SLO Assessment Review

Review your program's SLO assessment results through spring 2014 and respond to the following questions.

1. Discuss how assessment results indicate success in student learning. Identify results that indicate a need for improvement.

Assessment results were favorable based on success as a whole. Areas that are less successful need more individual attention to certain students.

2. Discuss how distance education courses assessment results compare to face-to-face courses, if applicable? (*Respond to this question if your program has distance education courses*.)

N/A

3. Discuss how your discipline, or someone in your discipline, made changes in pedagogy as a result of SLO assessment results.

Weekly progress critiques of projects viewed on the screen greatly improving group interaction and performance, especially for those having difficulty with concepts.

4. Give an example of a change in the number of units and/or lab hours based on assessment data, if applicable.

N/A

5. Did your program discover the need for additional resources (for AY 2015-16) based on the

assessment results?	YES 🗆	NO 🖂
---------------------	-------	------

If yes, please explain.

Click here to enter text.

B. SLO Process

1. Describe how your program reaches consensus when writing student learning outcomes that are used in multiple sections.

My program offers only one section of each course. \boxtimes

Click here to enter text.

2. Describe how your program reaches consensus when developing and evaluating assessment results for student learning outcomes that are used in multiple sections.

My program offers only one section of each course. \boxtimes

Click here to enter text.

3. What methods does your program use for documenting SLO related discussions? Check all that apply.

Program emails 🛛	
Program meeting minutes/agendas	
Blackboard/other website \Box	
Other (please describe): \Box	
Click here to enter text.	

II. PROGRAM ANALYSIS

Review of academic years 2012-13 and 2013-14

Review the student data provided by the Office of Institutional Research and any additional data your program has collected. Then respond to the sections below.

A. Data Review

If applicable, summarize any changes in your program's data since the Annual Program Review of

2011-12 or observed significant trends that will affect program planning or resource requests.

NOTE: Only include changes that affect student learning, program planning or resource requests.

Click here to enter text.

B. Program-Set Standard for Successful Course Completion Rates

Your program-set standard for successful course completion rates (i.e., number of grades of 'A', 'B', 'C', 'CR', and 'P' divided by total grades) is calculated by averaging successful course completion rates for your program over a five-year period and then multiplying that result by 95%.

In order to determine if you have achieved your program-set standard for successful course completion rates for a given year (e.g., 2012-13), you will need to assess if your program met or exceeded 95% of the previous 5-year average (i.e., 2007-08 through 2011-12) for your program; these calculations are done for you (*see links below*).

1. What was your program-set standard for successful course completion rates in 2012-13 and 2013-14?

	Program-Set Standard for successful course completion	Did you meet your program-set standard? (Yes or No)
2012-13	http://tinyurl.com/mmfwqfe	Yes
2013-14	http://tinyNourl.com/q6dah55	Yes

2. If your program did not meet your program-set standard, discuss possible reasons and how this may affect program planning or resource requests.

N/Λ			
1N/A			

1. Curriculum Review

2. Review your program's current curriculum. If applicable, describe any internal or external impacts which will affect your curriculum plans for 2015-16.

Economy. Re-entry of older students. Career changing. Interior Design courses in local high schools as feeders to this program.

C. Human Resources

1. Have there been changes in the number of full-time or part-time faculty associated with your program since the Annual Program Review of 2011-12? If yes, briefly describe the changes.

No

2. Have there been changes in the number of full-time or part-time classified staff associated with your program since the Annual Program Review of 2011-12? If yes, briefly describe the changes.

Yes. Lost administrative assistant to dean as result of budget reduction.

3. If applicable, describe how the changes indicated in 1 and 2 have impacted student learning?

N/A

D. Other information pertinent to the program

Х

III. PLANNING

A. Planning Update

Summarize your program's plans, initiatives, and objectives accomplished since the Annual Program Review of AY 2011-12 (include accomplishments for the academic years 2012-13 and 2013-14).

Over the span of 2 academic years Interior Design was able to acquire a wide format plotter and wide format scanner to enable students to print large drawings on campus instead of at various commercial resources, resulting in immediate feedback and better control over the finished product. Equipment is also able to be used by other campus entities.

B. Program Planning for AY 2015-16

As appropriate for your program, please address each of the following areas. For each area, describe

your program's plans, initiatives, and objectives for the academic year 2015-16. Focus on how

planning will impact student learning or the student experience at Las Positas College.

- 1. SLO assessments. NOTE: 100% of courses in your disciplines should be assessed a minimum of once every two years. As a guideline, each program should be assessing 25% of its courses every semester.
 - 1. How does your program plan to use assessment results for the continuous improvement of student learning? Examples might include (Your responses may vary.):
 - changing number of units/lab hours
 - changing pedagogy/curriculum
 - changing assessments

Keeping updated with the constantly evolving technology, codes, styles.

2. Have your assessment results shown a need for new SLOs? YES ⊠ NO □ If yes, in the table below, state the number of courses in your program and estimate the percentage of courses for which your program will write new SLOs.

Number of Courses	Estimated Percentage for which new SLOs will be written
10	20% based on the number of offerings

3. What percentage of courses will your program assess in the next academic year (2015-16)?

40%

4. In order to budget to pay part-time faculty to work on SLOs during the academic year 2015-16, estimate the number of part-time faculty in your program and the percentage of them who are likely to participate in the SLO process in 2015-16.

Estimated Number of	Estimated Percentage who will participate in the SLO process	
Part-time faculty		
2	100%	

4. Curriculum

a. Considering the criteria of relevance, appropriateness, achievement of course objectives, currency, and future needs and plans, will your program be making any changes to **existing** curriculum to address any of these criteria? If yes, please describe the changes and your program's reasons for the changes. Please provide any data which supports your program's reasons for the changes to your curriculum. Include a discussion of how the changes will improve student learning.

A course in 20-20 Technologies Design. The Kitchen/Bath segment of the Design

industry increasingly uses this Cad/Drag&Drop program for design, presentation, and

Construction Documents. A working knowledge of this program will make such students

more readily employable.

b. Will new curriculum be submitted to the Curriculum Committee for the academic year 2015-2016? If yes, please describe briefly what new curriculum is planned and the rationale for the new curriculum. Please provide any data which supports your reasons for the new curriculum. Include a discussion of how the changes will improve student learning.

Not for 15-16. If 20-20 is offered it will also attract students from Chabot, which does not offer it and currently practicing designers already in the field.

5. General Program Planning

Use this area to describe any program plans, initiative, or objectives your program wishes to accomplish in 2015-16 and their impact on student learning or the student experience. Focus on what the plans are and how they are to be accomplished (not resources needed).

To initiate the process of incorporating 20-20 into the program.

IV. Resource Requests for AY2015-16

Complete all areas that apply to your program's resource needs for 2015-16 (**not all areas apply to all programs**).

For each request, in the rationale section:

- Describe how meeting this request will improve student learning or the student experience.
- Provide any data or evidence which supports this request.

A. Enrollment Management

1. Request: New FTEF. Indicate amount being requested.

х

Х

2. Rationale for request(s).

B. Human Resources

1. Request: New or replacement faculty position(s).

Х

2. Rationale for faculty position request(s).

Х

3. Request: Classified staff position(s) (for example, new or replacement classified staff position(s) or increasing classified hours/position level).

N/A

4. Rationale for classified staff position request(s).

N/A

C. Financial

1. Request: Maintenance of, or increase in, existing program budget (e.g., for supplies, etc.).

Presently there is no budget for supplies. Fundamentals of Lighting could use some funds to purchase a few materials for hands-on demonstration.

2. Rationale for financial request(s).

A few items of the current technology will assist the student in developing a better understanding of appropriate lighting specifications in the field.

D. Technology (software only – discuss hardware in section E)

1. Request: Upgrade existing software or purchase new software.

N/A

2. Rationale for technology request(s).

N/A

E. Facilities, Equipment (include technology hardware), and Supplies

1. Request: Renovation or upgrade of existing facilities or new facilities.

N/A

2. Rationale for facilities request(s).

N/A

3. Request: Upgrading of existing equipment or purchase of new equipment.

N/A

4. Rationale for equipment request(s).

N/A

5. Request: New supplies

See C. 1 and 2 above

6. Rationale for supplies request(s).

See C. 1 and 2 above.