Program Review

Why revise the Program Review processes and forms?

- Integrate Program Review into institutional planning
  - Annually
  - Long-range
- Create a sustainable, continuous cycle of improvement
- Capture necessary reflection about program data and SLOs

Program Review

PURPOSES:

- Review and reflect on the student experience, with the goals of assessing and improving:
  - student learning and achievement
  - services for students
  - program effectiveness
- Provide a forum for each program's findings to be communicated to Administration
  - what is working well
  - what can be improved
  - plans for implementing chosen improvements

Program Review

PURPOSES:

- Collect information that will contribute to institutional assessment and improvement.
  - Used in writing annual Institutional Plan
  - Used in long-range planning
- Provide evidence for accreditation purposes
- Provide programs with a continuous record of program activity, achievement, and analysis

Three Year Program Review Cycle

- Kick-off year for Annual Program Review
- Three-year cycle begins in AY 2013-14
  - Phased in over three years
  - 2/3 of programs will write Annual Program Review
  - 1/3 of programs will write a Triennial Program Review
- Next three-year cycle will begin in AY 2016-17

Annual Program Review

What it is

- A snapshot of where your program is now
- Self-analysis with an emphasis on improvement
- Reflection with an emphasis on action
- Data-driven

What it is not

- A self-study
- No maintenance or development forms
- No Common Tool
- No Update
- Perfect

Annual Program Review for AY 2011-12 Spring 2013

Kick-Off Year for Academic and Student Services Programs

- Roll-out in March 2013
- Program Review Workshop April 10, 2:30-4:30 pm
  - Variable flex credit
- Review of AY 2011-12 - Planning for AY 2014-15
  - IR Data Packet: Fall semesters 2007-2011
  - Enrollment Management data packet for Academic Programs

Annual Program Review for AY 2011-12 Fall 2013

- Submitted to Program Review Committee at end of September 2013

- Program Review Committee provides feedback /mentoring to writers

- Submitted to Deans (& PRC) at end of fall semester 2013

- Program Review process and forms evaluated
  - Surveys sent to program review writers

Annual Program Review for AY 2011-12 Spring 2014

- Deans and Program Review Committee create summaries of their findings
  - Dean Summary presented at Division Meeting
- Executive Summary prepared by Vice Presidents
- Institutional Planning Committee uses summaries in writing the Institutional Plan for 2014-15
- "Gap" year AY 2013-14

Three Year Program Review Cycle Spring 2014

- Three-year Program Review cycle begins
  - Forms and data available at the start of spring 2014 semester
  - 1/3 of programs will write Triennial Program Review
    - Covers three academic years (Fall 2010-Spring 2013)
    - Planning for three academic years (Fall 2015-Spring2018)
  - 2/3 of programs will write Annual Program Review of AY 2012-13 (planning for AY 2015-16)
- Program Reviews submitted to Program Review Committee
  - PRC responds to writers by the end of spring semester

Three Year Program Review Cycle
Fall 2014

- Program Reviews submitted to Deans (& PRC) at beginning of fall semester 2014
- Deans and Program Review Committee create summaries of their findings
  - Dean Summary presented at Division Meeting
- Program Review process and forms evaluated
  - Surveys sent to program review writers
- Executive Summary prepared by Vice Presidents

Spring 2015
Institutional Planning Committee uses summaries in writing the Institutional Plan for 2015-16

Ideal Program Review Cycle

Fall 2014-Mid Spring 2015

Write Program Review

Late Spring 2015

Program Review Committee reads and provides feedback

Beginning of Fall 2015

Submitted to Dean & PRC

Division Summary

PRC Summary

Late Fall 2015

VP Summary

Spring 2016

Institutional Planning Committee

Plan for AY 2016-2017